

Heavy Particle in a d-dimensional Fermionic Bath: A Strong Coupling Approach

Achim Rosch and Thilo Kopp

Institut für Theorie der Kondensierten Materie, Universität Karlsruhe, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

(February 8, 1995)

We investigate the low temperature behavior of a heavy particle (mass M) in a fermionic bath. An effective action is considered which exactly implements the orthogonality catastrophe. It is equivalent to a model of local bosons coupling via a long-range interaction to the particle. The saddle point solution for the heavy particle propagator yields a finite quasi-particle weight in two and higher dimensions. In one dimension the propagator decays algebraically for long times. The saddle point approximation is valid for large M .

66.30.Dn, 71.27.+a, 78.70.Dm

The low temperature ($T \rightarrow 0$) behavior of a heavy particle strongly coupled to a fermionic bath has been a fascinating puzzle for more than two decades and yet has not been solved. Specifically, the question has been raised if, for $T \rightarrow 0$, the particle has a plane wave character, or if the particle becomes a totally incoherent excitation so that the quasi-particle (QP) picture fails. The low temperature mobility of the heavy particle and the existence of a finite Drude weight are related problems.

A variety of real physical systems are assumed to be ‘heavy-particle-fermionic-bath’ systems: e.g. ions in normal liquid ^3He [1–3], diffusion of myons and hydrogen in metals [4], photoemission spectra of metals [5], and valence band holes in n-type doped semiconductors [6]. Apart from these applications, a genuine theoretical interest in the solution of such a principal problem exists: it is closely related to the Kondo problem and the dissipative 2-level system [7]. Further, it may give insight into the possible breakdown of Fermi liquid theory for strongly correlated electron systems: a single overturned spin in an otherwise spin-polarized Fermi gas with local interactions (Hubbard model) may or may not display a QP behavior [8–12]. The existence of a QP-peak in the spectral function of the overturned spin will depend on dimension—and possibly on its effective mass and interaction with the Fermi bath. Of course, the (non-) existence of a QP-peak in the single-spin flip case does not predecide about the (non-) Fermi liquid behavior for the paramagnetic case. But it may be assumed that a Fermi sea of flipped spins will rather stabilize the Fermi liquid behavior because of the restricted phase space available for scattering processes. Also, the overturned spin is not necessarily a heavy particle. However the case of a heavy particle should be investigated first because it is the most probable to reveal non-QP behavior, as the infinitely heavy particle does.

In this paper we will focus on the question if the parti-

cle shows coherent behavior for $T \rightarrow 0$, i.e. if its spectral function displays a δ -function peak for zero momentum. The physics of this low-energy sector of the system is determined by two competing phenomena: orthogonality catastrophe (OC) [13] versus recoil [5,14]. On the one hand, we expect an incoherent behavior because of Anderson’s OC valid for an infinitely heavy particle: a sudden displacement of the particle will produce an infinite number of low-energy particle-hole (ph) excitations. Similarly, a localized valence band hole generated through optical absorption at time 0 will produce a shakeup of the conduction band in the long time limit. This causes a vanishing overlap of the original state with the final state in the thermodynamic limit. The final state is characterized by finite phase shifts of all the bath particles, necessary to screen the localized hole. On the other hand, momentum conservation for a finite-mass particle implies a finite recoil energy up to $E_{\text{recoil}} \simeq (2k_f)^2/2M$ of the heavy particle with mass M . This finite E_{recoil} restricts the available phase space for scattering processes on the Fermi surface simply by energy conservation. Accordingly, a perturbative calculation in the interaction of the heavy particle with the fermionic bath shows that the number of low lying ph-excitations is reduced in space dimensions $d > 1$ so substantially that the QP-peak is restored for finite mass [9]. However, it has been argued that conclusive evidence for a finite QP-peak in $2d$ has to be obtained from a non-perturbative approach—even if the interaction is small.

To set up the problem we consider the following Hamiltonian:

$$H = H_o + H_{\text{bath}} + H_U \quad (1)$$

Here, the kinetic energy of the heavy particle with momentum $\mathbf{P}$ is

$$H_o = \mathbf{P}^2/(2M)$$

For some specific lattice cases, it would be more appropriate to assume a band dispersion. We will comment on such a case below. Actually, it is important to include a periodic potential for the discussion of self-trapping [15–17]. But it has been asserted that, even for charge $Z \geq 2$, localization is unlikely to occur [18].

The bath contribution is just a Fermi (lattice) gas with dispersion $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$

$$H_{\text{bath}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}}$$

Spin may be included, and the results have to be modified in a trivial way by a spin degeneracy factor. The interaction is assumed to be local, i.e. it acts at the position

$\mathbf{R}$ of the heavy particle:

$$H_U = U \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} e^{i(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k})\mathbf{R}} c_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}'}$$

Higher scattering channels beyond s-wave should be considered for an ‘extended particle’ but here we will investigate only s-wave scattering to keep the mathematics transparent.

We will present a path integral approach which correctly reproduces the known limits (in any dimension): the second order perturbation theory in U [19], the high temperature case ($E_{\text{recoil}} < T \ll \epsilon_f$), and the localized case ($M = \infty$) which straightforwardly results from the high temperature case (with $E_{\text{recoil}} = 0$). As regards the coherence of the heavy particle state, we find: algebraic decay of the heavy particle propagator in 1d, but finite QP-weight for higher dimensions. Hence, the finite QP-weight in 2d is the major result of our considerations.

The partition function for (1) is $Z = Z_o \int \mathcal{D}\mathbf{R} e^{-iS[\mathbf{R}]}$. Z_o is the partition function for the fermionic bath. $S = S_o + S_U$ splits into the action for the free particle $S_o = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\beta d\tau M \dot{\mathbf{R}}(\tau)^2$ and the coupling to the bath

$$S_U = \text{tr} \ln [\mathbb{1} + U g_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau - \tau') e^{i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')\mathbf{R}(\tau')}] \quad (2)$$

which results from the integration over the fermionic fields. $g_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau)$ is a free-fermion propagator. The argument of the logarithm is a matrix indexed by $\tau, \tau', \mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{k}'$. Its power series will generate terms involving any number of time integrals. In the following paragraphs we will argue that (2) may be cast into a ‘singly retarded’ form which requires two time integrations, only.

A path integral which builds on all the (infrared) singular contributions from paths connecting two heavy particle positions was, to our knowledge, first proposed by Sols and Guinea [17]. Although we have to refer the reader to Prokof’ev’s papers [20] for the detailed discussion of the set-up of the action, we want to highlight the connection to the orthogonality catastrophe (OC). The OC not only arises for the overlap of a state with undisturbed Fermi sea and a state with all particles scattering from a localized impurity. But also the overlap of the electronic ground state $\phi_{\mathbf{R}}$ with impurity at position $\mathbf{R}$ and a state $\phi_{\mathbf{R}'}$ vanishes in the thermodynamic limit $N_e \rightarrow \infty$. N_e is the number of electrons (bath particles). Yamada and Yoshida et al. [21] managed to crack this integral:

$$\langle \phi_{\mathbf{R}'} | \phi_{\mathbf{R}} \rangle \rightarrow e^{-F(|\mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{R}|) \log N_e} \quad (3)$$

where

$$F(|\mathbf{R}|) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \arcsin^2(\sqrt{1 - x^2} \sin \delta) \quad (4)$$

for pure s-wave scattering with phase shift δ , and $x = \langle e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{R}} \rangle_{|\mathbf{k}|=k_f}$ which is $\cos(k_f R)$, $J_o(k_f R)$ and $\sin(k_f R)/(k_f R)$ for $d = 1, 2$ and 3 , respectively. $F(\mathbf{R})$ is also known for extended scatterers with phase shifts in higher order angular momentum channels [22]. Now we

consider the general form of a singly retarded (self-) interaction. Due to translational invariance it is certainly a functional of $\mathbf{R}(\tau') - \mathbf{R}(\tau)$, and also of $\tau' - \tau$. We are only interested in the low frequency behavior which restricts the scattering processes to the vicinity of the Fermi surface where energy and momentum are independent variables. Accordingly, the retarded interaction separates into a space and time dependent part [17,20]:

$$S_{\text{eff}} = S_o - \frac{1}{2} \iint_0^\beta d\tau d\tau' \mathcal{F}(|\mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{R}|) \rho(\tau' - \tau) \quad (5)$$

ρ is written as

$$\rho(\tau - \tau') = \frac{\pi}{\beta} \sum_{n \neq 0} |\omega_n| e^{i\omega_n(\tau - \tau')}$$

which reflects the fact that the number of excitations close to the Fermi surface is proportional to ω , and corrections in ω^2 are irrelevant for the investigated infrared divergence. Evaluation of the overlap (3) and comparison with (4) fixes $\mathcal{F}$:

$$\mathcal{F}(R) = \left(\frac{\delta}{\pi}\right)^2 - F(|\mathbf{R}|)$$

which is displayed in the figure. It includes all paths responsible for the OC Eq. (3) [20].

The effective action (5) may be verified for high temperatures or for small interaction U by expanding (2) either in $\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}'$ [23] or in U . The second order in either expansion is consistent with this singly retarded action S_{eff} which, moreover, covers contributions from any order.

There have been attempts in the past to extract information about the low- T behavior from this action [17]. Either it is assumed that the quadratic terms in R already determine qualitatively the low- T behavior [20]. Then the system is actually equivalent to a harmonic oscillator bath with spectral density $\sim \omega$ coupled to the heavy particle (Caldeira-Leggett model [7]). Such a mapping onto a bosonic bath was shown to be correct for the X-ray edge problem with a localized particle [24]. Another approach mimics the shape of $\mathcal{F}(R)$ for $d \geq 2$ by assuming a step-like functional form with the step at R equal the lattice constant [25]. The latter approach is equivalent to a procedure by Hedegård and Caldeira [26] which introduces independent oscillator baths at each lattice site. They further assumed that if the particle comes back to a lattice site twice or more times it always encounters a “new” bosonic bath in its thermal equilibrium, i.e. the history of excitations at a site is not recorded (‘non-interacting blip approximation’). Again, as for the harmonic oscillator bath, no QP-peak shows up for $T \rightarrow 0$.

We will demonstrate that the details of the long-distance behavior of $\mathcal{F}(R)$, i.e. the Friedel-oscillations, actually control the spectral function of the heavy particle. Since a direct integration over the field R is hopelessly complicated we propose the following detour: to

disentangle the untractable retarded part of the action we introduce new bosonic fields $b_{\gamma\mathbf{k}}(\tau)$. Then the problem is equivalent to the following polaron-type model, as can be checked by integrating out the bosons:

$$H_b = H_o + \sum_{\gamma\mathbf{k}} E_\gamma b_{\gamma\mathbf{k}}^\dagger b_{\gamma\mathbf{k}} + \sum_{\gamma\mathbf{k}} (V_\mathbf{k} b_{\gamma\mathbf{k}}^\dagger e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{R}} + \text{c.c.}) \quad (6)$$

with a spectral density $\sum_\gamma \delta(\omega - E_\gamma) = \omega \Theta(\omega)$ for the local bosons, and a long-range interaction $|V_\mathbf{k}|^2 = \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \mathcal{F}(R) e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{R}}$. The particle coordinate is eliminated by a transformation [27] $T_{\mathbf{R}} = \exp[i(\sum_{\gamma\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k} b_{\gamma\mathbf{k}}^\dagger b_{\gamma\mathbf{k}}) \mathbf{R}]$ on the local coordinate system of the heavy particle. The transformed Hamiltonian reads

$$H_{P_o} = H_{\text{kin}}(\mathbf{P}_o) + \sum_{\gamma\mathbf{k}} E_\gamma b_{\gamma\mathbf{k}}^\dagger b_{\gamma\mathbf{k}} + \sum_{\gamma\mathbf{k}} (V_\mathbf{k} b_{\gamma\mathbf{k}}^\dagger + \text{c.c.})$$

with

$$H_{\text{kin}}(\mathbf{P}_o) = (\mathbf{P}_o - \sum_{\gamma\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k} b_{\gamma\mathbf{k}}^\dagger b_{\gamma\mathbf{k}})^2 / (2M)$$

where the c-number $\mathbf{P}_o$ is the total momentum of the ‘‘polaron’’. With this transformation, $V_\mathbf{k}$ is just a displacement of the harmonic oscillators whereas the kinetic energy of the heavy particle introduces a complicated current-current interaction, the origin of which is the finite recoil.

We are interested in the real-time heavy particle propagator (at $T = 0$):

$$iG_{P_o}(t) = \langle 0 | \langle \mathbf{P}_o | e^{-iHt} | \mathbf{P}_o \rangle | 0 \rangle \simeq \int \mathcal{D}[b^*, b] e^{-iS_{P_o}[b^*, b; t]}$$

$|0\rangle$ is the Fermi sea which then translates to the vacuum for the bosons of (6), and S_{P_o} is the action corresponding to H_{P_o} :

$$S_{P_o} = S_o(\mathbf{P}_o) + \sum_{\gamma\mathbf{k}} \int_0^t dt (V_\mathbf{k} b_{\gamma\mathbf{k}}^* + V_\mathbf{k}^* b_{\gamma\mathbf{k}}) + S_{\text{int}}$$

with

$$S_o(\mathbf{P}_o) = \sum_{\gamma\mathbf{k}} \int_0^t dt b_{\gamma\mathbf{k}}^* (-i\partial_t + E_\gamma + \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{2M} - \frac{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{P}_o}{M}) b_{\gamma\mathbf{k}}$$

and

$$S_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2M} \sum_{\gamma\mathbf{k}, \gamma'\mathbf{k}'} \int_0^t dt \mathbf{k} b_{\gamma\mathbf{k}}^* b_{\gamma\mathbf{k}} \cdot \mathbf{k}' b_{\gamma'\mathbf{k}'}^* b_{\gamma'\mathbf{k}'}$$

A saddle point evaluation of $G_{P_o=0}(t)$ yields $b_{\gamma\mathbf{k}}^o(t) = -iV_\mathbf{k} \int_0^t dt^* e^{i(E_\gamma + \mathbf{k}^2/2M)(t^* - t)}$ and the action at the saddle point is:

$$S_{P_o=0}^o = -t \int d\omega \frac{\varrho_d(\omega)}{\omega} + i \int d\omega \frac{\varrho_d(\omega)}{\omega^2} (e^{-i\omega t} - 1)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_d(\omega) &= \sum_{\gamma\mathbf{k}} |V_\mathbf{k}|^2 \delta(\omega - E_\gamma - \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{2M}) \\ &= \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{k}} \int_0^{\sqrt{2M\omega}} dk k^{d-1} |V_\mathbf{k}|^2 (\omega - \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{2M}) \end{aligned}$$

First, we consider the case of infinite mass in any dimension: we find correctly $\varrho_d^\infty(\omega) = \omega \mathcal{F}(R=0) = \omega (\frac{\delta}{\pi})^2$, i.e. the exponent for the algebraic long-time decay of the heavy particle propagator, $G(t) \sim \theta(t) |t|^{-\alpha}$; is $\alpha = (\frac{\delta}{\pi})^2$ [28].

In $d = 1$, $\mathcal{F}(R)$ is a periodic function. Therefore its Fourier spectrum is discrete and only the $k = 0$ component contributes to the infrared behavior so that

$$\varrho_1(\omega) = \omega \int_0^1 d(k_f R) \mathcal{F}(R) \quad \text{for } \omega \rightarrow 0, M \neq \infty$$

The OC-exponent for the algebraic long-time decay is $\alpha = \int_0^1 d(k_f R) \mathcal{F}(R)$, i.e. $\alpha = f(\delta) \cdot (\frac{\delta}{\pi})^2$ with $f(\delta \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}) = 2/3$ [29] and $f(\delta \rightarrow 0) = 1/2$. It is well understood that α for $M \rightarrow \infty$ is different from α for $M = \infty$ [11]: the finite recoil for $M \neq \infty$ excludes scattering processes across the Fermi sea for $\omega < E_{\text{recoil}}$, whereas these processes cost no energy for $M = \infty$.

Half-filling in $d = 1$ is special for a nearest neighbor hopping motion of the heavy particle. The oscillations of $\mathcal{F}(R)$ are commensurate with the lattice periodicity which implies that a hopping heavy particle will probe $\mathcal{F}(R)$ only at its maximum and minimum, whereas a ‘free’ heavy particle averages over all values of $\mathcal{F}(R)$. Therefore we expect for this special commensurate case $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\delta/\pi)^2$, even for $\delta \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}$. This compares well with numerical findings by H. Castella [11].

In $d = 2$

$$\varrho_2(\omega) = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\delta \tan \delta}{\pi^2} \frac{\omega^{3/2}}{\sqrt{k_f^2/2M}} \quad \text{for } \omega \rightarrow 0, M \neq \infty$$

i.e. the power in ω is larger than 1 so that the QP-peak is finite and the particle displays coherent behavior. The incoherent part of the spectral function diverges for $\omega \rightarrow 0$ as $1/\sqrt{\omega}$, as already known from second order perturbation theory [9]. The actual value of the QP-weight and the prefactor of the incoherent part are determined by the details of the high frequency behavior.

Only if the Fermi energy is located at a van Hove singularity $\varrho_2(\omega)$ becomes linear in ω , as can be checked in the 2nd-order perturbation theory. The QP-peak in $2d$ and its suppression at a van Hove singularity was also found numerically by S. Sorella [12] using a variational ansatz [30].

For $d = 3$

$$\varrho_3(\omega) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\delta \tan \delta}{\pi^2} \frac{\omega^2}{k_f^2/2M} \quad \text{for } \omega \rightarrow 0, M \neq \infty$$

which yields a QP-peak as in $d = 2$, but on top of a finite incoherent background.

We further want to mention that the step function approximation to $\mathcal{F}(R)$ will produce a finite QP-peak in any dimension:

$$\varrho_{\text{step } d}(\omega) \sim \left(\frac{\delta}{\pi}\right)^2 M^{d/2} \omega^{\frac{d+2}{2}}$$

The incorrect frequency dependence of ϱ_{step} illustrates that the details of the long distance behavior of $\mathcal{F}(R)$ are indeed essential. This result has to be contrasted with that of the non-interacting blip approximation which is derived by skipping the term $k^2/2M$ in S_o : the QP-weight is zero in any dimension due to the missing recoil.

To estimate corrections to the saddle point result we calculated the fluctuations at the stationary point and the leading order shift of the saddle point by fluctuations. All corrections are of $O(1/M)$. Therefore, the question may be raised if the result for the 1d spectral function is exact for large M and small ω ($\omega \ll E_{\text{recoil}} \ll \epsilon_{k_f}$). We expect the result for $d=1$ to be quantitatively correct if the limit of heavy mass can be implemented by a singly retarded action. The spectral function in $d>1$ can be only qualitatively valid since the (non-zero) value of the QP-weight and the prefactor of the incoherent background depend on the details of the high energy behavior.

We acknowledge many helpful discussions with N. Andrei, H. Castella, F. Mila, E. Müller-Hartmann, N.V. Prokof'ev, A.E. Ruckenstein, and P. Wölfle. This work was supported by the DFG (T.K.).

- [14] E. Müller-Hartmann, T.V. Ramakrishnan, G. Toulouse, Phys. Rev. B **3**, 1102 (1971).
- [15] A. Schmid, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 1506 (1983).
- [16] M.P.A. Fisher, W. Zwerger Phys. Rev. B **32**, 6190 (1985).
- [17] F. Sols, F. Guinea, Phys. Rev. B **36**, 7775 (1987).
- [18] D.S. Fisher, A.L. Moustakas, to appear in Phys. Rev. B **51**, (March 15, 1995).
- [19] as given in a cumulant expansion.
- [20] N.V. Prokof'ev, J. Mosc. Phys. Soc. **2**, 157 (1992); Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **7**, 3327 (1993).
- [21] K. Yamada, K. Yoshida, Prog. Theor. Phys. **68**, 1504 (1982); K. Yamada, A. Sakurai, S. Miyazima, H.S. Hwang, Prog. Theor. Phys. **75**, 1030 (1986), and references therein.
- [22] K. Vladár, Prog. Theor. Phys. **90**, 43 (1993).
- [23] $R(\tau)=\text{const}$ is the high- T saddle point.
- [24] K.D. Schotte, U. Schotte, Phys. Rev. **182**, 479 (1969).
- [25] A. Rosch, diploma thesis (Univ. Karlsruhe, Jan. 1994).
- [26] P. Hedegård, A.O. Caldeira, Physica Scripta **35**, 609 (1987); P. Hedegård, Phys. Rev. B **35**, 533 (1987).
- [27] T.D. Lee, F.E. Low, D. Pines, Phys. Rev. **90**, 297 (1953).
- [28] P. Nozières, C.T. de Dominicis, Phys. Rev. **178**, 1097 (1969).
- [29] $\delta \rightarrow \pi/2$ corresponds to $U \rightarrow \infty$.
- [30] D.M. Edwards, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **101**, 452 (1990).

FIG. 1. $\mathcal{F}(R)$ for $d=1, 2$, and 3 with δ close to $\pi/2$.

-
- [1] B.D. Josephson, J. Lekner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **23**, 111 (1969).
 - [2] P. Wölfle, in: Lecture Notes in Physics, vol. 115, ed. by A. Pekalski, J. Przystawa (Springer, Berlin, 1980), and references therein.
 - [3] J. Kondo, T. Soda, J. Low Temp. Phys. **50**, 21 (1983).
 - [4] Y. Kagan, J. Low Temp. Phys. **87**, 525 (1992), and references therein.
 - [5] S. Doniach, Phys. Rev. B **2**, 3898 (1970).
 - [6] M. Calleja, A.R. Goni, B.S. Dennis, J.S. Weiner, A. Pinczuk, S. Schmitt-Rink, L.N. Pfeiffer, K.W. West, J.F. Mueller, A.E. Ruckenstein, Solid State Commun. **79**, 911 (1991).
 - [7] A.J. Leggett, S. Chakravarty, A.T. Dorsey, M.P.A. Fisher, A. Garg, W. Zwerger, Rev. Mod. Phys. **59**, 1 (1987), and references therein.
 - [8] T.B. McGuire, J. Math. Phys. **6**, 432 (1965).
 - [9] T. Kopp, A.E. Ruckenstein, S. Schmitt-Rink, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 6850 (1990).
 - [10] D.M. Frenkel, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 15008 (1992).
 - [11] H. Castella, X. Zotos, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 16186 (1993); H. Castella, to be published.
 - [12] S. Sorella, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 12373 (1994).
 - [13] P.W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **18**, 1049 (1967).

